

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Monday, March 04, 2013 8:29 AM  
**To:** Tom Waters [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct  
**Cc:** Thomas Waters  
**Subject:** RE: Response to Feb 25 2013 correspondence regarding "Double Blind" (b)(3) NatSecAct  
(b)(5)

[redacted] PRB has no further objections to *Double Blind*. We look forward to seeing your galleys/page proofs in Word format.

PRB Staff (b)(6)

**From:** Tom Waters [redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 01, 2013 7:52 PM  
**To:** [redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct  
**Cc:** Thomas Waters  
**Subject:** Response to Feb 25 2013 correspondence regarding "Double Blind"

Good afternoon,

I received your February 25 2013 notification on my manuscript 'Double Blind'. I'm happy to address the two points you raised:

[redacted] (b)(3) NatSecAct  
(b)(5)

After discussions with two publishers I've elected to publish the series as digital only, so there will be page-proofs or galleys. I have submitted the first manuscript for an outside editor to correct and format for electronic publishing. I will submit it to you when I received the final product, along with the two subsequent manuscripts GROUND EFFECTS and DOUBLE BLIND. Unless otherwise directed I will send the manuscript to you as Word documents as well as finished ePub formats used by online retailers for ebooks.

As always, thank you for your assistance in the publication process.

Best,

Tom Waters  
[redacted]

(b)(6)